CANUCK LAW
Reporting That You're Not Getting Elsewhere (Do Your Own Research To Verify)

DECEMBER 18, 2025 BY RONNIE

Private: “They Downplayed My Contributions, So I Had To Engage In Litigation”

After Farber’s comments on The Agenda with Steve Paikin downplaying her singular contributions to destroy the Heritage Front, Elisa felt she had no choice but to engage in litigation. In her mind, it was a battle for her life - for the integrity of her lived experiences and her right to be the only person to profit from her own traumatic past. Others saw a former neo-Nazi who had once been called a “wretched little immigrant girl” by one of the litigants, go up against the former CEO of the Canadian Jewish Congress, a well-connected man who had provided expert witness to the courts on countless occasions - and laughed.

“The Hate Network” is a Canadian documentary that is currently being screened for select audiences, presumably before being made more public. It’s effectively HateGate 2.0.

In honour of that, let’s take a look back at its predecessor, HateGate 1.0.

September 2023, the “HateGate Affair” was published by Caryma Sa’d and Elisa Hategan, who still goes by her old name. While the paper was disjointed and rambling, it tried to promote a narrative. Specifically, it attempted to convince people that the Emergencies Act

(EA) was invoked in February 2022 largely over a meme and shoddy police work. It cited a 1,082 page FOIPOP release “proving” it.

So, why was the EA invoked? A shorter FOIPOP from around the same time suggests, although it does not conclude, that blockades along the Canada-U.S. border were shutting down international travel and shipments. While not definitive, it’s far more plausible than HateGate.

Going back through the 85 page paper, it’s obvious that most supporters never read the HateGate Affair, let alone the FOIPOP package, or this page. They probably just relied on this 4 1/2 minute video to tell them what to think.

The FOIPOP doesn’t prove — at all — that law enforcement relied on CAHN, the Canadian Anti-Hate Network. If anything, police found little to substantiate their claims. The authors just cherrypicked quotes to suit a narrative. And most of the HateGate Affair has nothing to do with the invocation anyway.

Keep in mind, Hategan and Sa’d didn’t request a FOIPOP from the police for all information and conversations related to the invocation. That would have been tens of thousands of pages, at least. Instead, they asked for information about the group Diagolon, and simply reported that they had proof of this narrative. Nice pivot.

Hategan got her “fame” as a teenager in the 1990s, helping infiltrate and eventually take down the neo-nazi group Heritage Front. It turned out to be an operation, and its leader, Grant Bristow, a CSIS agent. However, she’s nearly 50 now, and nowhere near as relevant. But if people minimize her role in this, she’s quite willing to file a lawsuit against them.

Let’s look at some forgotten gems in this “paper”.

Grant Bristow And Bernie Farber Were Actually Friends

There is arguably no better example to underscore concerns over CAHN’s personal biases affecting what is purported to be expert research, than the enduring friendship between Bernie Farber and Grant Bristow, the undisputed co-founder and self-appointed “Intelligence Chief” of the Heritage Front.

Prior to her defection from the Heritage Front, Elisa Hategan submitted approximately 30 affidavits to the Ontario Provincial Police. Several involved situations where Bristow purportedly counseled Elisa—initially still a minor—to engage in criminal activity, such as giving her instructions on how to anonymously harass and intimidate left-wing activists, hack into answering machines to collect data, and spy on the Irish Freedom Association of Toronto.

Although the Toronto Star’s IP was captured by tracking software on Elisa’s blog within two weeks of her fundraising talk for the international Jewish women’s organization Hadassah-WIZO, lingering repeatedly over several posts citing Bristow and criminal activity, no journalist reached out for comments. Instead, when the article came out, Bernie Farber’s endorsement of Bristow was front and center: “He was actually a man who wanted to do something real good for his country,” he said. Admitting he still considers Bristow “a friend”, Farber claimed that Bristow had averted a plot on his life. Elisa denies such a plot ever existed, and points to the fact that nobody was ever charged or prosecuted.

But for the sake of playing devil’s advocate—even if there *had* been a nefarious plot against Farber, that does not in itself justify the glowing endorsement of a man who, according to the SIRC’s sanitized report, “tested the limits of what was acceptable.”

50

These are from pages 48 and 50 of HateGate Affair. Even though Grant Bristow was “supposedly” the head of the largest neo-nazi group in Canada, he and Farber remained friends. The only logical conclusion is not just that it was a CSIS operation (which it was), but that Farber *knew* all along that it was.

Farber must have deduced (if he wasn’t directly informed) that Diagolon was also an operation. After all, it was the O.P.P. informant, Hategan, writing this paper.

And why does Hategan have I.P. tracking software on her website?

Ferryman-Cohen Sued Bernie Farber For Clout

CanLII Home › Ontario › Superior Court of Justice › 2021 ONSC 874 (CanLII)

grant bristow heritage front 98 of 102

Hategan v. Farber, 2021 ONSC 874 (CanLII)

Document | History (2) | Cited documents (45) | Treatment (4) | CanLII Connects (0)

PDF

Date: 2021-02-03

File number: CV-18-610489

Citation: Hategan v. Farber, 2021 ONSC 874 (CanLII), <https://canlii.ca/t/jczjn>, retrieved on 2024-08-25

Browse myCanLII | Save this case | Set up citation alert | Share this case

CITATION: Hategan v. Farber, 2021 ONSC 874

COURT FILE NO.: CV-18-610489

DATE: 20210203

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE - ONTARIO

RE: Elisa Romero Hategan, Plaintiff

AND:

Elizabeth Moore Frederiksen and Bernie Farber, Defendants

BEFORE: Ferguson J.

Elisa was outmatched financially in the protracted court battle, spending approximately $50,000 up against $300,000 according to legal documents. She lost her right to appeal (purportedly due to time delays), leaving in place a ruling that takes most of its verbiage directly from the defendants’ harshly worded written submissions with minimal accompanying analysis, and a permanent prohibition on speaking about the case, at risk of contempt.

Ms. Hategan has invaded Ms. Moore’s privacy

[138] Ms. Moore submits that ***Ms. Hategan’s actions amount to the tort of public disclosure of embarrassing private facts***. The information about Ms. Moore’s former extra-marital affair was conveyed to Ms. Hategan under strict promises of confidentiality. ***By publishing statements about these sexual relations, and falsely claiming that this was done to advance Ms. Moore’s career, Ms. Hategan has clearly given publicity to a matter concerning the private life of Ms. Moore***. Ms. Moore submits that this publication is (i) highly offensive to a reasonable person; and (ii) is not of legitimate concern to the public. Ontario courts have particularly noted the private nature

of sexual relations and family quarrels, among others.

[139] Ms. Moore further submits that Ms. Hategan’s actions amount to the tort of breach of confidence. The information about Ms. Moore’s extra-marital affair was confidential, in that it was conveyed to Ms. Hategan under strict promises of confidentiality, and Ms. Hategan’s publication of that information was unauthorized and was to Ms. Moore’s detriment. ***This confidential and highly intimate information was used to denigrate Ms. Moore’s personal and professional reputation, imputing that Ms. Moore received professional benefits from this and other sexual relationships***. Damages, sufficient to mark the wrong that has been done, are warranted.

[140] I agree that this tort has been made out. The information about Ms. Moore’s extra-marital affair was conveyed to Ms. Hategan in confidentiality. ***I agree that this information is highly offensive to a reasonable person and is not a legitimate concern to the public***.

Ms. Hategan appropriated Ms. Moore’s personality and likeness

[141] Ms. Moore submits that Ms. Hategan appropriated Ms. Moore’s likeness ***by registering multiple websites and social media handles (the “domains”) in Ms. Moore’s name. Ms. Hategan inked many of the domains directly to her own website, so that when a person searched for Ms. Moore, they were redirected to Ms. Hategan’s information. In doing so, Ms. Hategan took advantage of the name, reputation and likeness of Ms. Moore’s personality. Ms. Hategan did this for commercial purposes and to boost her own professional reputation***. As a direct result, Ms. Moore cannot register many of the domains that would naturally be used for her business – including variations of her name. Instead of using her own name, Ms. Moore has to use a fictional phrase – “one moore liz” – to promote herself online.

[142] I agree with the defendant that these actions constitute an appropriation of Ms. Moore’s personality and likeness.

Interference with Ms. Moore’s economic relations

[143] ***On at least two separate occasions, Ms. Hategan threatened to sue Ms. Moore’s professional colleagues in an attempt to interfere with Ms. Moore’s economic relations. Ms. Moore alleges that this amounts to the tort of intimidation,*** and is an actionable wrong committed against a third party. In at least one instance, as admitted by Ms. Hategan, these threats led to ***a speaking engagement being cancelled. As a result of these actions, Ms. Moore has suffered economic harm and loss***. Ms. Moore does not know how many other opportunities she may have lost out on, because Ms. Hategan has refused to produce relevant communications with third parties. Ms. Moore submits that an adverse inference should be drawn.

[144] Again, I agree with these submissions. Ms. Hategan has caused interference with Ms. Moore’s economic relation.

Page 51 of HateGate Affair, Ferryman-Cohen complains that she’s been silenced from speaking out. This came after she filed a frivolous lawsuit against Farber for not giving her the credit she thought she deserved. She was also found to be harassing, threatening, stalking and defaming her “rival”, Elizabeth Moore-Frederiksen.

Caryma Sa’d went after CAHN in Federal Court, but it was struck for having no Cause of Action.

Ferryman-Cohen Sued TV Ontario For Clout

48. Bernie Farber made the ***false representation that both the Plaintiff and Moore were critical in the dissolution of the Heritage Front. At no point did Moore correct Farber that she had not been involved in the “shut down” of the Heritage Front***. Without permission, Farber also uses the Plaintiff’s name and courageous actions as an 18-year old teenager and conflates them with Elizabeth Moore, who was a privileged, upper-middle class adult woman who did nothing to shut down the HF, was not a “hero”, and was not involved in any way whatsoever in shutting down the Heritage Front:

> “By the way, ***[Elizabeth] was one of a couple of women that were involved in the Heritage Front, both of them actually, Elizabeth and***

> ***another woman by the name of Elisa Hategan. Both of them ended up being heroes in terms of how they were able to take themselves out, how they were able to work with the system, to basically shut down the Heritage Front***. And so in this particular case, it’s kind of interesting that the women were the heroes in shutting this down. There were others involved as well, the Bristow Affair, he was the mole. All of this came together as a result of the women who full timely took a stand and said, we’re not going to deal with this anymore.”

Back in 2019, Ferryman-Cohen sued TVO because it didn’t give her enough of a glowing review. She and Elizabeth Moore-Frederiksen *both* were credited with helping to topple Heritage Front. But sharing credit wasn’t good enough, and she filed a $150,000 lawsuit. It was settled out of Court.

Ferryman-Cohen Sued For Defamation By Paul ApSimon

Ferryman-Cohen is being sued for defamation by Paul ApSimon, a former fencing instructor. She posted ancient allegations on her website that essentially accused him of sexual abuse and pedophilia. Now, unless this turns out to be ***provably*** true, then he’s 100% justified in filing this one. As of the time of writing this, there is an anti-SLAPP Motion pending.

Bit of advice for Diagolon supporters: maybe someone who gets sued for making these kinds of accusations isn’t the best person to write for you.

Ferryman-Cohen Sues Caryma Sa’d And Toronto Police

Search Results

Parties

Court	Case Number	Case Title	Case Category	Filed Date	Role	Custom Date	Name	Active / Inactive
Civil and Small Claims Court	SC-25-00014007-0000	ROMERO HATEGAN v. TORONTO POLICE SERVICE BOARD et al	Small Claims	16/12/2025	Plaintiff		ROMERO HATEGAN, ELISA	Active
Civil and Small Claims Court	CV-19-00626665-0000	Romero Hategan v. ONTARIO EDUCATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY (TVO) et al	Civil	02/09/2019	Applicant / Plaintiff		Romero Hategan, Elisa	Inactive
Civil and Small Claims Court	CV-18-00610489-0000	Romero Hategan v. FREDERIKSEN et al	Civil	09/12/2018	Applicant / Plaintiff		Romero Hategan, Elisa	Inactive
Civil and Small Claims Court	CV-25-00751623-0000	ROMERO HATEGAN v. SA'D et al	Civil	11/09/2025	Applicant / Plaintiff		ROMERO HATEGAN, ELISA	Active
Civil and Small Claims Court	CV-24-00720974-0000	ROMERO HATEGAN v. BOTTOMLEY VANDERHEYDEN	Civil	26/05/2024	Applicant		ROMERO HATEGAN, ELISA	Active
Civil and Small Claims Court	CV-25-00757618-0000	Romero Hategan v. Toronto Police Service Board et al	Civil	14/12/2025	Applicant / Plaintiff		Romero Hategan, Elisa	Active

Ferryman-Cohen has finally gone after Caryma Sa’d, her co-author, filing a $2.53 million lawsuit. There are some interesting revelations here.

She is suing the Toronto Police twice in Small Claims Court. One was on December 8th, and the other on the 16th.

There’s also one against Mitch Hancock in Small Claims for $35,000. She simply cannot allow people to forget that she’s the one who took down Heritage Front.

> 50. Sa’d further lied to police, claiming that she did not owe Ms. Hategan any money (***despite having had extensive text and verbal discussions about splitting credit, donations, and other compensations for Ms. Hategan)***. Sa’d has earned thousands of dollars from work performed at least in part by Ms. Hategan, and as a result of her journalistic mentorship. ***Sa’d and Hategan’s co-authored article The Hategate Affair was also uploaded to a website solely under Sa’d’s control***. The website (found at https://dove-herring-wfpt.squarespace.com/ and linked to the domain “hategate.ca”) was operational from September 12, 2023 until fall/winter 2024, and featured “Donation” and “Make a Donation” buttons from its inception until around January 2024. Ms. Hategan does not know the total amount of money collected by Sa’d through the website, through Sa’d’s GoFundMe journalism-related pages, or via direct e-transfers to Sa’d’s bank account and PayPal account, ***but strongly believes the figure is in the thousands of dollars***.

77. It took Elisa many years to overcome her police phobia. Graduating Magna cum Laude from the University of Ottawa with a double major in criminology and psychology, she served as a ***consultant with the London, UK-based Institute for Strategic Dialogue (ISD), and has assisted law enforcement such as the Ontario Provincial Police with advice and training on preventing radicalization and extremism in youth. As a keynote speaker for the Probation Officers Association of Ontario (POAO), she presented workshops alongside OPP and RCMP officers***. Her wrongful arrests and the high volume of false police reports Sa’d filed about her, which are still registered in CPIC, ***have destroyed her reputation in the law enforcement community***.

96. As a result of Sa’d’s false reports, ***Ms. Hategan was locked out of her X account and remains suspended to the present day, unable to access her account***. Ms. Hategan has never been suspended from any social media platform before. Sa’d lied to X Support by falsely claiming that the photo was a “private” image, even though Sa’d is a notorious public figure who regularly seeks media attention, stives to be famous and become an “influencer”, and has her own Wikipedia Page. As a result of Sa’d’s malicious and false reports, ***Ms. Hategan has lost her primary source of income – most of her public speaking and consulting requests, as well as crowdfunding donations, come from her X account***.

113.(g)(ii). Her duty and loyalty to another client, Jeremy Mackenzie. ***Ms. Hategan believes that Mackenzie paid Sa’d for work on The HateGate Affair***, the September 12, 2023 85-page longform article co-authored and written in majority by Ms. Hategan. ***Elisa is entitled to receive half of all earnings as per her agreement with Sa’d, but received nothing.***

Ferryman-Cohen didn’t “leave behind” her life working with law enforcement. She apparently still does it, and works/worked as a consultant for the ISD? They’re arguably worse than CAHN.

Now we’re getting to the heart of the matter. Ferryman-Cohen believes that MacKenzie paid Sa’d to create HateGate Affair, and she wants a cut of it. She also wants compensation for whatever donations came in. There’s no objection that the document is *misleading*, just that she wasn’t paid.

This also explains why the paper was so shoddy, at least in part. No one will donate *unless* there’s a juicy story, such as the EA being invoked over a meme. This was supposed to be a cash cow.

Ferryman-Cohen apparently doesn’t work a regular job, and largely relies on pubic speaking and online donations. Gee, where have we heard that before?

There are many more allegations against Sa’d and her boyfriend that are not relevant here.

Ferryman-Cohen Works For Institute For Strategic Dialogue


Search
Home
My Network
Jobs
Messaging
Notificati
Elisa Hategan
Writer, Speaker, Journalist, Regional Coordinator for Central Canada and US at Against Violent Extremism (AVE)
ISD
Regional Coordinator for Central Canada and United States at Against Violent Extremism (AVE) Network
ISD (Institute for Strategic Dialogue)
Feb 2018 - Present · 7 yrs 11 mos
- Develop and implement materials for workshops involving former extremists
- Assist in selecting and training moderators, formers and other key personnel for events; submit a weekly growth report and attend workshops, public events, and any relevant functions
- Liaise with other former extremists and survivors of extremist violence within my given geographical locations in order to conduct qualitative research/interviews
- Facilitate meetings with relevant community groups, law enforcement, academic institutions and former violent extremists in my assigned geographical locations; liaise with municipal stakeholders
- Assist with project-related activities, such as coordination, transport, logistics, security and localization
- Assist in the delivery of prison outreach programming across the United States; assist with drafting a recommendations report in regards to prison outreach and engagement
- Developed a comprehensive social media strategy and proposed campaign for the revival of the AVE Network

Institute for Strategic Dialogue (ISD) (International (non-government)) $397,464.26
Nov. 5, 2020

Agreement: Extreme Dialogue (3685788) (Nov. 5, 2020 - Oct. 31, 2022)

Description:

The purpose of this contribution is to provide funding to support the priorities of the Office by providing time-limited financial assistance to enhance and/or support countering radicalization to violence (CRV) research and programming across Canada. The project aims to offer new and adapted educational material and workshops to students to prevent radicalization and violent extremism.

Organization: Public Safety Canada

Program Name: (CRF) Community Resilience Fund

Location: London, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland SW1P 9ER

ISD | Institute for Strategic Dialogue

About What we do Publications

Partnerships & Funders

Partners

Institutional Partnerships

- Anti-Defamation League (ADL)
- ARENA @ Johns Hopkins University
- Cardiff University
- Centre for the Analysis of Social Media (CASM)
- Combating Terrorism Center at West Point
- Deakin University
- Demos
- Fair Fight Action
- Geneva Center for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- German Marshall Fund Alliance for Securing Democracy
- Global Center on Cooperative Security
- Global Counterterrorism Forum (GCTF)
- Global Disinformation Index
- Hans-Bredow Institute

Funders (2018 – Present)

Foundations

- Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
- British Council
- Children's Investment Fund Foundation (CIFF)
- Europäisches Forum Alpbach
- Gemeinnützige Hertie Stiftung
- Gen Next Foundation
- Hirondelle Foundation
- International Republican Institute (IRI)
- Mercator Stiftung Germany
- Mercator Stiftung Switzerland
- National Democratic Institute
- Omidyar Group
- Open Society Foundations
- Robert Bosch Stiftung

According to her LinkedIn page, (see archive), Elisa Hategan is the Regional Coordinator

for Central Canada and United States at Against Violent Extremism. They coordinate with the Institute for Strategic Dialogue, or ISD.

Not familiar with the ISD? They were covered here and here previously, but quite simply, they can be considered the “global” version of the Canadian Anti-Hate Network. Their work includes:

- Documenting activities of the far right
- Education and digital citizenship
- Outreach
- Deradicalization efforts

Among the ISD’s donors is the ADL, or Anti-Defamation League. As many know, it was created in 1913 to “protect” the reputation of Leo Frank, convicted rapist and murderer of a 13 year old girl.

Ferryman-Cohen rails against CAHN and Bernie Farber, while participating in an organization that does essentially the same thing. *Both* CAHN and ISD get taxpayer subsidies. Did no one ask any questions about that? That takes some real mental gymnastics.

She’s also an Advisory Board Member and Social Media Manager for OVED for Human Rights, a Holocaust Survivors Non-Profit. That’s interesting, considering that HateGate was written to “exonerate” people who adamantly deny the Holocaust even happened.

Guys, They’re Totally Not Feds!



The CBC outed and doxed many nationalists at a recent meetup in B.C., while deliberately shielding a member from Second Sons Canada. Very interesting choice, considering the recent protests in Ottawa and Regina.


Jeremy MacKenzie
@JeremyMacKenzi
Somebody's going to be joining Ezra in court next if they want to keep making baseless statements with no evidence like this and refusing to engage in public like a pussy.
I am Clyde's soul Baron and his mind belongs to me.

Heritage Front was a CSIS operation, and Ferryman-Cohen helped destroy it. She points that out every day. It’s hard to believe that she’s bright enough to do that, but too clueless to see the obvious parallels. Sa’d doesn’t mention it either.

Filing lawsuits for being called a “fed” isn’t a good look, especially when the allegation was confessed to under oath. The POEC transcripts are freely available.

It’s kind of surreal to think about. An admitted police informant (Hategan) is promised money to write a paper concluding that another admitted police informant (MacKenzie) was

framed by the cops? And none of this seems abnormal?

Prospective members of Second Sons Canada are required to undergo a criminal record check, amongst other things, before being admitted. And who is it that conducts record checks? That would be the RCMP…. who apparently tried to frame MacKenzie. Applicants will be *paying* to hand over their details to law enforcement.



For the reasons outlined above, the HateGate Affair cannot be taken at face value. There are far too many questions that need to be answered. No amount of gaslighting will change that reality. It would be nice to know how MacKenzie got ahold of those RCMP messages (see 1:00 mark).

What will “The Hate Network” look like? The 2023 release seems designed to “legitimize” an intelligence operation, by dressing it up as state persecution against free speech. Now, this is just a prediction, but maybe the 2025 version will do much the same, but by “shoe-horning” it in with legitimate cases.

(1) Hategan LinkedIn Profile
(2) https://search.open.canada.ca/grants/record/ps-sp%2C214-2020-2021-Q3-0023%2Ccurrent
(3) Elisa Hategan Toronto Police Lawsuit
(4) Hategan v Toronto Police 456 Pages Redacted
(5) Elisa Hategan Caryma Sad lawsuit Info Redacted
(6) Elisa Hategan Hancock Lawsuit
(7) Public Safety A-2022-00112 – Release Package Diagolon

(1) Hategan v. Farber, 2021 ONSC 874 (CanLII)
(2) Hategan v. Frederiksen, 2022 ONCA 217 (CanLII)
(3) Hategan v. Frederiksen, 2022 ONCA 715 (CanLII)
(4) Hategan v. Frederiksen, 2023 ONCA 57 (CanLII)
(5) Sa’d v. Yew, 2023 FC 1286 (CanLII)

HATEGATE AFFAIR:

(1) HateGate Affair Original Filing

(2) HateGate Archived Version (Without Highlighting)

(3) HateGate Archive (With Highlighting)

HATEGATE FOIPOP PACKAGE (FULL RELEASE):

(0.1) Previously Published Documents

(0.2) A-2022-06987 Release Section Of 2nd Package

(1) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 1

(2) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 2

(3) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 3

(4) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 4

(5) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 5

(6) A-2022-06987 Release package Part 6

(7) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 7

(8) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 8

(9) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 9

(10) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 10

(11) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 11

(12) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 12

(13) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 13

(14) A-2022-06987 Release package Part 14

(15) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 15

(16) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 16

(17) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 17

(18) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 18

(19) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 19

(20) A-2022-06987 Release Package Part 20

(21) A-2022-06987 Release package Part 21

DIAGOLON, FOI REQUEST, FREE SPEECH, GRIFTERS, LAWFARE, MILITARY/ POLICE, SLAPP

2 Replies to “Private: “They Downplayed My Contributions, So I Had To Engage In Litigation””

dragoncoffeetelesto10335

DECEMBER 18, 2025 AT 11:51 PM

hilarious really. thanks for the research.

td182b

DECEMBER 19, 2025 AT 1:45 PM

Dang, so much information here to try to absorb. And with all the rest of what’s going on right now, time is a problem.
Reality is that I’ve seen pretty obvious evidence of some entity (CSIS?) working on Social Media (FB) setting the Narrative on certain subjects. I don’t like to attract attention, but I’ve mentioned in the comment section of such Narrative Setting wondering if it’s an ‘operation’.